

1 SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP
Jason J. Kim (SBN 190246)
2 Jason Yoon (SBN 306137)
Kevin Hong (SBN 299040)
3 101 S. Western Ave., Second Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90004
4 Telephone: (213) 252-8008
Facsimile: (213) 252-8009
cm@SoCalEAG.com
5

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff
ROBERT CAULEY
7

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 ROBERT CAULEY,
12 Plaintiff,
13

14 vs.
15

16 NOCOMP SMOKESHOP; JAMES J.
TAYLOR, AS TRUSTEE OF THE J.
17 TAYLOR FAMILY TRUST; and DOES 1
to 10,
18

19 Defendants.
20
21
22
23

Case No.:

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR DENIAL
OF CIVIL RIGHTS OF A DISABLED
PERSON IN VIOLATIONS OF

1. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES
ACT, 42 U.S.C. §12131 et seq.;

2. CALIFORNIA'S UNRUH CIVIL
RIGHTS ACT;

3. CALIFORNIA'S DISABLED
PERSONS ACT;

4. CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY
CODE;

5. NEGLIGENCE
24
25
26
27
28

Plaintiff ROBERT CAULEY ("Plaintiff") complains of Defendants NOCOMP
SMOKESHOP; JAMES J. TAYLOR, AS TRUSTEE OF THE J. TAYLOR FAMILY
TRUST; and DOES 1 to 10 ("Defendants") and alleges as follows:

//

PARTIES

1
2 1. Plaintiff is a California resident with a physical disability. Plaintiff suffers
3 from paraplegia due to T5 and T6 vertebrae spinal cord injury and is substantially limited
4 in his ability to walk. Plaintiff requires the use of a wheelchair at all times when traveling
5 in public.

6 2. Defendants are, or were at the time of the incident, the real property owners,
7 business operators, lessors and/or lessees of the real property for a tobacco shop
8 (“Business”) located at or about 9339 Artesia Blvd, Bellflower, California.

9 3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or
10 otherwise of Defendant DOES 1 through 10, and each of them, are unknown to Plaintiff,
11 who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will ask leave of
12 Court to amend this Complaint when the true names and capacities have been
13 ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and, based thereon, alleges that each such
14 fictitiously named Defendants are responsible in some manner, and therefore, liable to
15 Plaintiff for the acts herein alleged.

16 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, at all relevant
17 times, each of the Defendants was the agent, employee, or alter-ego of each of the other
18 Defendants, and/or was acting in concert with each of the other Defendants, and in doing
19 the things alleged herein was acting with the knowledge and consent of the other
20 Defendants and within the course and scope of such agency or employment relationship.

21 5. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act or
22 failure to act by a defendant or Defendants, such allegations and references shall also be
23 deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting individually, jointly
24 and severally.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

25
26 6. The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1331 and
27 1343 for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (42 USC §12101, *et*
28 *seq.*).

7. Pursuant to pendant jurisdiction, attendant and related causes of action, arising from the same nucleus of operating facts, are also brought under California law, including, but not limited to, violations of California Civil Code §§51, 51.5, 52(a), 52.1, 54, 54., 54.3 and 55.

8. Plaintiff's claims are authorized by 28 USC §§ 2201 and 2202.

9. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 USC §1391(b). The real property which is the subject of this action is located in this district, Los Angeles County, California, and that all actions complained of herein take place in this district.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

10. In or about October of 2023, Plaintiff went to the Business.

11. The Business is a tobacco shop business establishment, open to the public, and is a place of public accommodation that affects commerce through its operation.

12. While attempting to enter the Business during each visit, Plaintiff personally encountered a number of barriers that interfered with his ability to use and enjoy the goods, services, privileges, and accommodations offered at the Business.

13. To the extent of Plaintiff's personal knowledge, the barriers at the Business included, but were not limited to, the following:

a. Defendants failed to maintain accessibility for persons with disabilities to comply with the federal and state standards. Defendants failed to provide at least one accessible entrance as required as the entrance had two steps instead of a proper ramp.

14. These barriers and conditions denied Plaintiff the full and equal access to the Business and caused Plaintiff difficulty and frustration. Plaintiff wishes to return and patronize the Business; however, Plaintiff is deterred from visiting the Business because his knowledge of these violations prevents him from returning until the barriers are removed.

15. Based on the violations, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that there are additional barriers to accessibility at the Business after further site inspection.

1 Plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to his disability remedied. *See Doran v. 7-*
 2 *Eleven, Inc.* 524 F.3d 1034 (9th Cir. 2008).

3 16. In addition, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that Defendants
 4 knew that particular barriers render the Business inaccessible, violate state and federal
 5 law, and interfere with access for the physically disabled.

6 17. At all relevant times, Defendants had and still have control and dominion
 7 over the conditions at this location and had and still have the financial resources to
 8 remove these barriers without much difficulty or expenses to make the Business
 9 accessible to the physically disabled in compliance with ADDAG and Title 24
 10 regulations. Defendants have not removed such barriers and have not modified the
 11 Business to conform to accessibility regulations.

12 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

13 **VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990**

14 18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
 15 paragraphs in this complaint.

16 19. Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”), no individual
 17 shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of
 18 the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of
 19 public accommodation by any person who owns, leases, or leases to, or operates a place
 20 of public accommodation. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).

21 20. Discrimination, *inter alia*, includes:

- 22 a. A failure to make reasonable modification in policies, practices, or
 23 procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such
 24 goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations
 25 to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that
 26 making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of
 27 such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or
 28 accommodations. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).

- 1 b. A failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no
2 individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or
3 otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the
4 absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can
5 demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the
6 nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or
7 accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden. 42
8 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii).
- 9 c. A failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers
10 that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, and transportation
11 barriers in existing vehicles and rail passenger cars used by an
12 establishment for transporting individuals (not including barriers that
13 can only be removed through the retrofitting of vehicles or rail
14 passenger cars by the installation of a hydraulic or other lift), where
15 such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).
- 16 d. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum
17 extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily
18 accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including
19 individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum
20 extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the
21 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered
22 area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with
23 disabilities where such alterations to the path or travel or the
24 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area
25 are not disproportionate to the overall alterations in terms of cost and
26 scope. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).

27 21. At least one accessible route shall connect accessible building, facilities,
28 elements, and spaces that are on the same site. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.3.2. A public

1 accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those features of facilities
2 and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable by persons with
3 disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

4 22. Here, Defendants failed to provide at least one accessible entrance to the
5 Business as the entrance had two steps instead of a proper ramp.

6 23. A public accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those
7 features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable
8 by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

9 24. By failing to maintain the facility to be readily accessible and usable by
10 Plaintiff, Defendants are in violation of Plaintiff's rights under the ADA and its related
11 regulations.

12 25. The Business has denied and continues to deny full and equal access to
13 Plaintiff and to other people with disabilities. Plaintiff has been and will continue to be
14 discriminated against due to the lack of accessible facilities, and therefore, seeks
15 injunctive relief to alter facilities to make such facilities readily accessible to and usable
16 by individuals with disabilities.

17 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

18 **VIOLATION OF THE UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT**

19 26. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
20 paragraphs in this complaint.

21 27. California Civil Code § 51 states, "All persons within the jurisdiction of this
22 state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color, religion, ancestry,
23 national origin, disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sexual
24 orientation, citizenship, primary language, or immigration status are entitled to the full
25 and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business
26 establishments of every kind whatsoever."

27 28. California Civil Code § 52 states, "Whoever denies, aids or incites a denial,
28 or make any discrimination or distinction contrary to Section 51, 515, or 51.6, is liable

1 for each and every offense for the actual damages, and any amount that may be
 2 determined by a jury, or a court sitting without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the
 3 amount of actual damage but in no case less than four thousand dollars (\$4,000) and any
 4 attorney's fees that may be determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any
 5 person denied the rights provided in Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6.

6 29. California Civil Code § 51(f) specifies, "a violation of the right of any
 7 individual under federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336)
 8 shall also constitute a violation of this section."

9 30. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
 10 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services by
 11 physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code §§ 51 and 52.
 12 Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code §§
 13 51 and 52.

14 31. The violations of the Unruh Civil Rights Act caused Plaintiff to experience
 15 difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory
 16 damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

17 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

18 **VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA DISABLED PERSONS ACT**

19 32. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
 20 paragraphs in this complaint.

21 33. California Civil Code § 54.1(a) states, "Individuals with disabilities shall be
 22 entitled to full and equal access, as other members of the general public, to
 23 accommodations, advantages, facilities, medical facilities, including hospitals, clinics,
 24 and physicians' offices, and privileges of all common carriers, airplanes, motor vehicles,
 25 railroad trains, motorbuses, streetcars, boats, or any other public conveyances or modes
 26 of transportation (whether private, public, franchised, licensed, contracted, or otherwise
 27 provided), telephone facilities, adoption agencies, private schools, hotels, loading places,
 28 places of public accommodations, amusement, or resort, and other places in which the

1 general public is invited, subject only to the conditions and limitations established by
2 law, or state or federal regulation, and applicable alike to all persons.

3 34. California Civil Code § 54.3(a) states, “Any person or persons, firm or
4 corporation who denies or interferes with admittance to or enjoyment of public facilities
5 as specified in Sections 54 and 54.1 or otherwise interferes with the rights of an
6 individual with a disability under Sections 54, 54.1 and 54.2 is liable for each offense for
7 the actual damages, and any amount as may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting
8 without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damages but in no
9 case less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) and any attorney’s fees that may be
10 determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights
11 provided in Section 54, 54.1, and 54.2.

12 35. California Civil Code § 54(d) specifies, “a violation of the right of an
13 individual under Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) also
14 constitute a violation of this section, and nothing in this section shall be construed to limit
15 the access of any person in violation of that act.

16 36. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
17 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, and facilities by physically disabled
18 persons within the meaning of California Civil Code § 54. Defendants have
19 discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code § 54.

20 37. The violations of the California Disabled Persons Act caused Plaintiff to
21 experience difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for
22 statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

23 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

24 **CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 19955, et seq.**

25 38. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
26 paragraphs in this complaint.

27 39. Plaintiff and other similar physically disabled persons who require the use of
28 a wheelchair are unable to use public facilities on a “full and equal” basis unless each

1 such facility is in compliance with the provisions of California Health & Safety Code §
2 19955 et seq. Plaintiff is a member of the public whose rights are protected by the
3 provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq.

4 40. The purpose of California Health & Safety Code § 1995 et seq. is to ensure
5 that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds
6 adhere to the provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 4450) of Division 5 of
7 Title 1 of the Government Code. The code relating to such public accommodations also
8 require that “when sanitary facilities are made available for the public, clients, or
9 employees in these stations, centers, or buildings, they shall be made available for
10 persons with disabilities.

11 41. Title II of the ADA holds as a “general rule” that no individual shall be
12 discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of goods
13 (or use), services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who
14 owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).
15 Further, each and every violation of the ADA also constitutes a separate and distinct
16 violation of California Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d), thus independently justifying an
17 award of damages and injunctive relief pursuant to California law, including but not
18 limited to Civil Code § 54.3 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

19 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

20 **NEGLIGENCE**

21 42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
22 paragraphs in this complaint.

23 43. Defendants have a general duty and a duty under the ADA, Unruh Civil
24 Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe and accessible facilities
25 to the Plaintiff.

26 44. Defendants breached their duty of care by violating the provisions of ADA,
27 Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act.

